Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT TREVINO,

Plaintiff,

v.

E. DOTSON, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>23-cv-01311-TSH</u>

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO REASSIGN ACTION

All named parties, including unserved defendants, must consent before a magistrate judge has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1) to hear and decide a case. See Williams v. King, 875 F.3d 500, 503 (9th Cir. 2017) (magistrate judge lacked jurisdiction where defendants had not consented to proceed before magistrate judge). It appears that this case requires a decision dispositive of certain parties or claims at this time, and consent of all parties has not been obtained. Accordingly, the Clerk shall reassign this case to a district judge pursuant to the court's assignment plan.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 4/10/2023

THOMAS S. HIXSON United States Magistrate Judge